Maurice H. ter Beek¹ <u>Guillermina Cledou</u>² Rolf Hennicker³ José Proença⁴

¹ISTI–CNR, Pisa, Italy

²HASLab, INESC TEC & University of Minho, Portugal

³Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany

⁴CISTER, ISEP, Polytechnic Institute of Porto, Portugal

FM 2021 25 Nov 2021

Background

Team Automata: ¹

- Systems of communicating components: synchronise over shared actions
- Synchronisation types per action: peer-2-peer, broadcast, ...



<u>Goal:</u> Safe communication – no message loss, no indefinite waiting, ...

¹ter Beek et al., Compositionality of Safe Communication in Systems of Team Automata. ICTAC 2020

Motivation

Many systems today are highly configurable:

• Large sets of similar systems that share a lot of behaviour but differ in other



Challenge: System by system analysis of safe communication quickly becomes unfeasible

Approach

Featured Team Automata:

- Families (sets) of Team Automata model as a Software Product Line
- Single model parametrised by features ² (e.g.: \triangle , \square), and a feature model ($\triangle \oplus \square$)



Goal: Family-based analysis of safe communication

²Classen et al., Featured Transition Systems: Foundations for Verifying Variability-Intensive Systems and Their Application to LTL Model Checking. IEEE Trans. Softw. Eng. 39 (2013)





































Online prototype: http://arcatools.org/feta



Online prototype: http://arcatools.org/feta

Featured Team Automata Transitions



Transitions are constraint with feature expressions by:

- local feature expressions: characterise the products with all participants present
- fst: characterise the products that satisfy the corresponding synchronisation type

 $fst(\{ A \}, join\} = ([1, 1], [1, 1])$ $fst(\{ A \}, join\} = ([1, *], [1, 1])$

Transitions

Featured Team Automata Transitions



Transitions are constraint with feature expressions by:

- local feature expressions: characterise the products with all participants present
- fst: characterise the products that satisfy the corresponding synchronisation type

$$fst(\{\square\}, join\} = ([1,1], [1,1]) \qquad fst(\{\square\}, join\} = ([1,*], [1,1])$$
$$(0,0,0) \xrightarrow{[]}{} (\{u_1, u_2\}, join, \{s\}) \atop fst[S]} (2,2,0)$$

Transitions

Featured Team Automata Transitions



Transitions are constraint with feature expressions by:

- local feature expressions: characterise the products with all participants present
- fst: characterise the products that satisfy the corresponding synchronisation type

 $fst(\{\square\}, join\} = ([1, 1], [1, 1]) \qquad fst(\{\square\}, join\} = ([1, *], [1, 1])$ $(0, 0, 0) \xrightarrow{[\square \land \square \land \square \land \square}](\{u_1, u_2\}, join, \{s\}) \rightarrow fst[S] (2, 2, 0)$

Transitions

Featured Team Automata Transitions



Transitions are constraint with feature expressions by:

- local feature expressions: characterise the products with all participants present
- fst: characterise the products that satisfy the corresponding synchronisation type

 $fst(\{ \texttt{a} \}, join) = ([1, 1], [1, 1]) \qquad fst(\{ \texttt{a} \}, join) = ([1, *], [1, 1])$ $(0, 0, 0) \xrightarrow{[\texttt{a} \land \texttt{a} \land \texttt{a} \land \texttt{a} \land \texttt{a}](\{u_1, u_2\}, join, \{s\})}_{fst[\mathcal{S}]} (2, 2, 0)$

Here: Receptiveness – no message loss

Here: Receptiveness - no message loss

Featured Receptiveness Requirement:

Whenever (a group of) components want to send a message (in a product), there should be (a group of) components (in the same product) ready to receive the message in conformance with the synchronisation type

Here: Receptiveness - no message loss

Featured Receptiveness Requirement:

Whenever (a group of) components want to send a message (in a product), there should be (a group of) components (in the same product) ready to receive the message in conformance with the synchronisation type

A Featured Team Automata is (weakly) receptive, if it is (weakly) compliant with all its featured requirements



 $[] rcp({u_1}, join) \land rcp({u_2}, join) \land [] rcp({u_1, u_2}, join)$



 $\begin{bmatrix} &] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1\}, join) \land & \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_2\}, join) \land \begin{bmatrix} &] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1, u_2\}, join) \end{bmatrix}$ Featured receptiveness requirements are constraint with feature expression by:



 $\begin{bmatrix}] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1\}, join) \land \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_2\}, join) \land \begin{bmatrix}] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1, u_2\}, join) \end{bmatrix}$ Featured receptiveness requirements are constraint with feature expression by:

• local feature expressions: characterise products where the participants are present



Featured receptiveness requirements are constraint with feature expression by:

• local feature expressions: characterise products where the participants are present



 $[\blacksquare \lor \blacksquare] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1\}, join) \land \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_2\}, join) \land [$] $\operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1, u_2\}, join)$

- local feature expressions: characterise products where the participants are present
- fst: characterise products with the correct number of senders



 $[\blacksquare \lor \blacksquare \land fm] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1\}, join) \land \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_2\}, join) \land [] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1, u_2\}, join)$

- local feature expressions: characterise products where the participants are present
- fst: characterise products with the correct number of senders



 $[\blacksquare \lor \blacksquare \land fm] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1\}, join) \land \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_2\}, join) \land [] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1, u_2\}, join)$

- local feature expressions: characterise products where the participants are present
- fst: characterise products with the correct number of senders
- reachable states: characterise products where the state is reachable



 $[\blacksquare \lor \blacksquare \land fm] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1\}, join) \land [fm] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_2\}, join) \land [$

- local feature expressions: characterise products where the participants are present
- fst: characterise products with the correct number of senders
- reachable states: characterise products where the state is reachable



 $[\blacksquare \lor \blacksquare \land fm] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1\}, join) \land [fm] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_2\}, join) \land [\blacksquare \lor \blacksquare] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1, u_2\}, join)$

- local feature expressions: characterise products where the participants are present
- fst: characterise products with the correct number of senders
- reachable states: characterise products where the state is reachable



 $[\blacksquare \lor \blacksquare \land fm] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1\}, join) \land [fm] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_2\}, join) \land [\blacksquare \lor \blacksquare \land \blacksquare \land \neg \blacksquare] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1, u_2\}, join)$

- local feature expressions: characterise products where the participants are present
- fst: characterise products with the correct number of senders
- reachable states: characterise products where the state is reachable



 $[\blacksquare \lor \blacksquare \land fm] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1\}, join) \land [fm] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_2\}, join) \land [\blacksquare \lor \blacksquare \land \blacksquare \land \neg \blacksquare \land fm] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1, u_2\}, join)$

- local feature expressions: characterise products where the participants are present
- fst: characterise products with the correct number of senders
- reachable states: characterise products where the state is reachable

Compliance with requirements



At state (0, 0, 0):

 $[fm] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1\}, join) \land [fm] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_2\}, join) \land [\blacksquare \land \neg \blacksquare] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1, u_2\}, join)$

$$\{ \bullet \} : (0,0,0) \xrightarrow{[\bullet \land fm] (\{u_1\}, join, \{s\})} _{fst[S]} (1,0,1)$$

$$\{ \bullet \} : (0,0,0) \xrightarrow{[\bullet \land fm] (\{u_1\}, join, \{s\})} _{fst[S]} (2,0,0)$$

Compliance with requirements



At state (0, 1, 1):

 $[\blacksquare \land \neg \bullet] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1\}, join) \land [\blacksquare \land \neg \bullet] \operatorname{rcp}(\{s\}, confirm)$

Compliance with requirements



At state (0, 1, 1):

 $\boldsymbol{\times} [\boldsymbol{\square} \land \neg \boldsymbol{\square}] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1\}, join) \land [\boldsymbol{\square} \land \neg \boldsymbol{\square}] \operatorname{rcp}(\{s\}, confirm)$

Weak compliance with requirements



At state (0, 1, 1):

$$[\frown \land \neg \bullet] \operatorname{rcp}(\{u_1\}, join) \land [\frown \land \neg \bullet] \operatorname{rcp}(\{s\}, confirm)$$

$$\{ \widehat{\blacksquare} \} : (0,1,1) \xrightarrow{[\widehat{\blacksquare} \land \underline{fm}](\{s\}, \operatorname{confirm}, \{u_2\})}_{\mathsf{fst}[\mathcal{S}]} (0,2,0) \xrightarrow{[\widehat{\blacksquare} \land \underline{fm}](\{u_1\}, \operatorname{join}, \{s\})}_{\mathsf{fst}[\mathcal{S}]} (1,2,1)$$

Tool

Online prototype

- Specify
- Generate*
- Visualise
- Statistics
- *SAT solver to solve fm



Wrap up

Wrapping up



Online prototype: http://arcatools.org/feta

Wrap up

Future work



Thank you for your attention! Questions?